

Spin Correlations and Velocity-Scaling in NRQCD Matrix Elements ¹

Geoffrey T. Bodwin*, Jungil Lee[†] and D. K. Sinclair*

**HEP Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439*

[†]Department of Physics, Korea University, Seoul 136-701, Korea

Abstract. We compute spin-dependent matrix elements for decays of S-wave quarkonia in lattice NRQCD. They appear to be in approximate agreement with the velocity-scaling rules of NRQCD.

PACS: 12.38Ge, 13.25Gv

The effective field theory Nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) [1, 2, 3] is an elegant formalism within which to describe heavy-quarkonium physics. It is believed that, within NRQCD, inclusive cross sections for the production of a quarkonium at large transverse momentum p_T can be written in a factorized form [3]:

$$\sigma(H) = \sum_n \sigma_n(\Lambda) \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_n^H(\Lambda) | 0 \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where the σ_n are perturbatively calculable short-distance coefficients, and the $\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_n^H(\Lambda) | 0 \rangle$ are matrix elements in the vacuum state of four-fermion operators of the form $\mathcal{O}_n^H = \chi^\dagger \kappa_n \psi (\sum_X |H+X\rangle \langle H+X|) \psi^\dagger \kappa'_n \chi$. Here, H is the quarkonium state, ψ is the Pauli spinor field that annihilates a heavy quark, χ is the Pauli spinor field that creates a heavy antiquark, and Λ is the ultraviolet cutoff of NRQCD. κ contains Pauli matrices, color matrices, and the covariant derivatives. NRQCD predicts the leading scaling behavior of the matrix elements with v , the heavy-quark velocity in the quarkonium rest frame [3]. As a consequence of these v -scaling rules, the sum over operator matrix elements can be regarded as an expansion in powers of v , where $v^2 \approx 0.3$ for charmonium and $v^2 \approx 0.1$ for bottomonium. A similar factorization formula applies to inclusive quarkonium decays [3], except that the matrix elements are now between quarkonium states, rather than vacuum states, and the four-fermion operators have the form $\mathcal{O}_n = \psi^\dagger \kappa_n \chi \chi^\dagger \kappa'_n \psi$.

At large p_T at the Tevatron, the dominant mechanism for J/ψ production is gluon fragmentation into a $Q\bar{Q}$ pair, which then evolves nonperturbatively into the J/ψ . The nonperturbative evolution is described by the NRQCD matrix elements. The NRQCD v -scaling rules predict that the spin-flip matrix elements are suppressed by v^2 in comparison with the non-spin-flip matrix elements. Therefore, in existing calculations, the spin-flip effects are neglected, and the J/ψ is assumed to take on the polarization of the fragmenting gluon. Consequently, the J/ψ is expected to have a significant transverse polarization at large p_T (Ref. [4]). Surprisingly, the CDF data for the J/ψ polarization

¹ Talk presented by G. T. Bodwin.

[5] show decreasing transverse polarization with increasing p_T and disagree with the NRQCD prediction [6] in the largest p_T bin.

One can question whether the neglect of spin-flip processes is justified in the case of the J/ψ . The v -scaling rules predict the leading power of v in a matrix element, but not its coefficient. It could happen that the spin-flip matrix elements, although suppressed by v^2 relative to the non-spin-flip matrix elements, are not actually smaller numerically. It has also been suggested that the v -scaling rules themselves may need to be modified for charmonium [7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

Ideally, we would settle these issues by computing the J/ψ production matrix elements in a lattice QCD simulation. Unfortunately, it is not known how to formulate the computation of production matrix elements in lattice simulations. However, we can instead use lattice calculations of *decay* matrix elements to test the validity of using the v -scaling rules to estimate the sizes of matrix elements.

We take for our lattice action the discretized version of the NRQCD action through next-to-leading order in v that is given in Ref. [12]. We also include improvements of relative-order a to the terms in the lattice action that are of leading order in v^2 , and we implement tadpole improvement [13] as described in Ref. [12]. Our initial computations were carried out on quenched gauge-field configurations, which should reproduce the qualitative features of QCD. Our preliminary results are based on 400 gauge-field configurations on $12^3 \times 24$ lattices at $\beta = 5.7$. We use the values $am_c = 0.8$ and $am_b = 3.15$ for the heavy-quark masses in lattice units. We take the parameter n that appears in the action of Ref. [12] to be 1 for bottomonium and 4 for charmonium.

Since, at $\beta = 5.7$, $a = 0.81 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for charmonium [12] and $a = 0.73 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for bottomonium [14], the quarkonium is well contained in the lattice volume. However, since the quarkonium radius is approximately $1/(mv)$, which is about 1.2 GeV^{-1} for charmonium and about 0.6 GeV^{-1} for bottomonium, the lattice spacing is fairly coarse and warrants the implementation of order- a improvements.

Our preliminary results for S -wave quarkonium states are shown in Table 1. As can be seen, the hierarchy of matrix elements that is predicted by v -scaling is observed. However, the sizes of the matrix elements decrease faster with increasing powers of v than one would expect from the powers of v alone. The NRQCD heavy-quark spin symmetry [3] predicts that the singlet-to-triplet transition and the triplet-to-singlet transition should be in a ratio of 3:1, up to corrections of order v^2 . The lattice results agree with this prediction. Furthermore, the ratios of bottomonium matrix elements to charmonium matrix elements for the larger, well-measured matrix elements agree within about a factor of two with expectations from v scaling. Taken together, these results suggest that v scaling is fairly well obeyed, but that additional factors, beyond the powers v , must be taken into account in order to estimate the sizes of the matrix elements accurately.

As can be seen from Table 1, the triplet-to-singlet transition rate is comparable to the triplet-to-triplet transition rate. This suggests that, at large p_T at the Tevatron, where the color-octet, spin-triplet process dominates S -wave quarkonium production, the η_c production rate may be comparable to the J/ψ production rate.

The spin-triplet up-to-longitudinal transition rate is small compared with the spin-triplet up-to-up transition rate. That is, the spin-flip matrix elements are suppressed, as is expected from v -scaling. Hence, our preliminary results support the prediction of large transverse polarization of J/ψ 's produced at large p_T at the Tevatron.

TABLE 1. Quarkonium decay matrix elements. A spin transition labeled “ $S_i \rightarrow S_f$ ” refers to the matrix element of the color-octet S-wave operator of spin S_f in an S-wave color-singlet state of spin S_i . The matrix elements are normalized to the matrix element of the 3S_1 color-singlet operator in a 3S_1 color-singlet state. We average over unspecified spins in the quarkonium state and sum over unspecified spins in the operators. The column labeled “scaling” gives the v scaling of the normalized matrix element. Here we include the color factor $1/(2N_c)$ that arises in the free $Q\bar{Q}$ matrix elements, as is suggested in Ref. [15]. The columns labeled “bottom.” and “charm.” give the lattice results for the normalized matrix elements in the lowest-lying S-wave bottomonium and charmonium states. The columns labeled “bottom. est.” and “charm. est.” give the numerical values of the velocity-scaling estimates in the “scaling” column, taking $v^2 = 0.1$ for bottomonium and $v^2 = 0.3$ for charmonium. The quoted uncertainties are statistical only. Systematic uncertainties could be considerably larger.

spin Transition	scaling	bottom.	bottom. est.	charm.	charm. est.
singlet $\rightarrow$ triplet	$v^3/(2N_c)$	$2.72(4) \times 10^{-4}$	5.3×10^{-3}	$2.90(3) \times 10^{-3}$	2.7×10^{-2}
triplet $\rightarrow$ singlet	$v^3/(2N_c)$	$9.0(1) \times 10^{-5}$	5.3×10^{-3}	$1.13(2) \times 10^{-3}$	2.7×10^{-2}
singlet $\rightarrow$ singlet	$v^4/(2N_c)$	$6.5(5) \times 10^{-5}$	1.7×10^{-3}	$9.7(2) \times 10^{-4}$	1.5×10^{-2}
triplet $\rightarrow$ triplet	$v^4/(2N_c)$	$6.9(6) \times 10^{-5}$	1.7×10^{-3}	$1.02(1) \times 10^{-3}$	1.5×10^{-2}
triplet up $\rightarrow$ triplet up	$v^4/(2N_c)$	$6.9(6) \times 10^{-5}$	1.7×10^{-3}	$1.02(1) \times 10^{-3}$	1.5×10^{-2}
triplet up $\rightarrow$ triplet long.	$v^6/(2N_c)$	$1-2 \times 10^{-6}$	1.7×10^{-4}	$2.8(7) \times 10^{-6}$	4.5×10^{-3}
triplet up $\rightarrow$ triplet down	$v^6/(2N_c)$	$< 5 \times 10^{-8}$	1.7×10^{-4}	$1.4(2) \times 10^{-6}$	4.5×10^{-3}

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work in the High Energy Physics Division at Argonne National Laboratory is supported by the U. S. Department of Energy, Division of High Energy Physics, under Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38.

REFERENCES

1. W. E. Caswell and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Lett. B **167**, 437 (1986).
2. B. A. Thacker and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 196 (1991).
3. G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 1125 (1995) [Erratum-ibid. D **55**, 5853 (1997)] [hep-ph/9407339].
4. P. L. Cho and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B **346**, 129 (1995) [hep-ph/9411303].
5. T. Affolder *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2886 (2000) [hep-ex/0004027].
6. E. Braaten, B. A. Kniehl, and J. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 094005 (2000) [hep-ph/9911436].
7. M. Beneke, [hep-ph/9703429].
8. N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto, and A. Vairo, Nucl. Phys. B **566**, 275 (2000) [hep-ph/9907240].
9. S. Fleming, I. Z. Rothstein, and A. K. Leibovich, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 036002 (2001) [hep-ph/0012062].
10. M. A. Sanchis-Lozano, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16**, 4189 (2001) [hep-ph/0103140].
11. N. Brambilla, D. Eiras, A. Pineda, J. Soto, and A. Vairo, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 034018 (2003) [hep-ph/0208019].
12. C. T. H. Davies, K. Hornbostel, G. P. Lepage, A. J. Lidsey, J. Shigemitsu, and J. H. Sloan, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 6519 (1995) [hep-lat/9506026].
13. G. P. Lepage and P. B. Mackenzie, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 2250 (1993) [hep-lat/9209022].
14. C. T. H. Davies, K. Hornbostel, A. Langnau, G. P. Lepage, A. Lidsey, J. Shigemitsu and J. H. Sloan, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 6963 (1994) [hep-lat/9406017].
15. A. Petrelli, M. Cacciari, M. Greco, F. Maltoni, and M. L. Mangano, Nucl. Phys. B **514**, 245 (1998) [hep-ph/9707223].